

Message

From: Nordine, John [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=6F082FB004BA4D818FE3276686C84C63-JNORDINE]
Sent: 5/2/2013 5:38:53 PM
To: Jack Thorsen [jack@autumnwoodesh.com]
CC: Bob Kay [rtkay@usgs.gov]; Gerald Ruopp [gruopp@centralwire.com]
Subject: RE: Comment Regarding Keeping a Consistent Interval on the Y-Axis

Mr. Thorsen;

EPA can live with plotting the sampling dates on the y-axis rather than a consistent interval.

The MW-2 plot is what the EPA is looking for in the graphs. The MW-4 and MW-9 plots still need work on the title. It should be consistent with that of MW-2. Mainly, Techalloy need a defined sampling period to help differentiate them from past a future plots and a unique identification (fig. 2, fig. 3, whatever) to improve the ability of the reader to reference the figures in the reports.

If you want to reference CentralWire, that is fine, as long as Techalloy is in the title too.

Respectfully,

John Nordine, CPG, LPG
 U.S. EPA, Region 5
 RCRA Corrective Action Section
 77 W. Jackson Blvd. LU-9J
 Chicago, Illinois 60604

Phone: 312-353-1243
 Fax: 312-385-5338

"The great end of education is to discipline rather than finish the mind; to train it to use of its own powers rather than to fill it with the accumulation of others." Tryon Edwards

"Don't interfere with anything in the Constitution. That must be maintained, for it is the only safeguard of our liberties" Abraham Lincoln

From: Jack Thorsen [mailto:jack@autumnwoodesh.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 01, 2013 3:19 PM
To: Nordine, John
Cc: Gerald Ruopp
Subject: Comment Regarding Keeping a Consistent Interval on the Y-Axis

John:

I understand the point to making the Y-axis a consistent interval, but the viewing of the plotted data will be less clear given the variety in the maximum levels found at the different wells, i.e., 605 in MW-2, 200 in MW-4 and 12 in MW-9.

Let me know what you think.

Regards,

Jack

John W. Thorsen, P.E.
Autumnwood ESH Consultants
262.237.1130